

## PATENT APPLICATION

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

**RECEIVED** 

In re application of

Docket No: Q55778

DEC 2 3 2003

Masahiro HAYAMA

**Technology Center 2100** 

Appln. No.: 09/401,293

Group Art Unit: 2186

Confirmation No.: 4480

Examiner: Matthew D. ANDERSON

Filed: September 23, 1999

For:

MICROCOMPUTER PROVIDED WITH FLASH MEMORY AND METHOD OF

STORING PROGRAM INTO FLASH MEMORY

## RESPONSE TO NOVEMBER 20, 2003 COMMUNICATION FROM EXAMINER

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the November 20, 2003 Communication from the Examiner alleging that Applicant's Amendment under 37 C.F.R. § 1.114(c) failed to comply with 37 C.F.R. § 1.111(c), please consider the following remarks.

## **REMARKS**

Claims 30-39 are all the claims pending in the application.

The Examiner asserts that the Amendment under 37 C.F.R. § 1.114(c) dated September, 8, 2003 failed to clearly point out why claims 30-39 are considered patentable in view of the prior art of record. In response thereto, Applicant submits that claim 30-39 are patentable over the art of record for at least the following exemplary reasons.